Case	3:08-cv-00941-BEN-MDD	Document 91	Filed 07/25/11	PageID.4346	Page 1 of 2
1					
1 2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	SOUTHERN CALIFORNI COMPANY, a California c		CAS	E NO. 08cv941	BEN (NLS)
12	,	Plainti	ff,		
13					
14					
15 16	VS.				
17					
18					
19					
20	SYNTELLECT, INC., a Decorporation,	elaware			
21		Defenda	nt. SYN	DER DENYING TELLECT'S M	IOTION FOR
22	CANTELLECT INC.	aloworo	REC	CONSIDERATIO	UN
23	SYNTELLECT, INC., a Decorporation,	ziawaie			
24		Counterclaima	nt,		
25	SOUTHERN CALIFORNI	A GAS			
26	COMPANY, a California c	corporation,			
27		Counterdefenda	nt.		
28					

- 1 - 08cv0941

Syntellect, Inc., moves for reconsideration of the order on the cross motions for summary judgment. Reconsideration of a previous order is an "extraordinary remedy, to be used sparingly in the interests of finality and conservation of judicial resources." *Carroll v. Nakatani*, 342 F.3d 934, 945 (9th Cir. 2003). "[A] motion for reconsideration should not be granted, absent highly unusual circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law." *Id.* (quoting *Kona Enters., Inc. v. Estate of Bishop*, 229 877, 890 (9th Cir. 2000)).

The fact that the opinion by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Alabama was vacated in accordance with a stipulation of the parties (one of which was Syntellect), does not constitute an intervening change in controlling law, as Syntellect asserts. The decision was not controlling. Moreover, its reasoning has not lost its persuasive force. Syntellect also argues that this Court made a decision "outside the adversarial issues presented to the court." It did not. Finally, the Court declines the invitation of Southern California Gas Company to order Syntellect to show cause why it should not be sanctioned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1927 for filing the motion for reconsideration.

The motion for reconsideration is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 25, 2011

Hon. Roger T. Benitez
United States District Judge

- 2 - 08cv0941